

# PARTITION THEOREM IN POPULATIONS

**Arni S.R. Srinivasa Rao**

Laboratory for Theory and Mathematical Modeling,

Medical College of Georgia,

Department of Mathematics,

Augusta University, Georgia, USA

email: arni.rao2020@gmail.com

**ABSTRACT.** Abstract. In this talk, I will state explain the new theorem that I have proved recently in formal demography. The conditions to identify the stationary status of a population are enough. The renewal process principles of stationary populations may not work when the sub-populations in large populations have non-steady equilibriums. The proof of the partition theorem will be provided.

Population partitions, stationary population and non-stationary population

**Proposition.** *Life Table Identity (SPI) partitions a randomly selected large population into stationary and non-stationary components.*

**Proof.** Stationary Population Identity (SPI) holds for a population, means, that in a life table the fraction of population at age  $x$  (say,  $f_1(x)$ ) is equal to the fraction,  $f_2(x)$  of the population who will live  $x$ -years [5, 4, 2, 6, 1, 3, 7], i.e.  $f_1(x) = f_2(x) \forall x \in [0, \omega)$ . Here  $\omega$  is the maximum age in a life table.

Let  $P(t)$  be the random population and  $P_x(t)$  be the population at age  $x$  at a time  $t$ , where  $P(t)$  can be expressed as  $P(t) = \int_0^\omega P_x(t)dx$  or  $P(t) = \sum_{x=0}^\omega P_x(t)$ . We do not know whether  $P(t)$  is a stationary or not. Stationary component of  $P(t)$  (say,  $M(t)$ ), we define as, a sub-collection of  $P(t)$  who are aged  $y$  for  $y \in [0, \omega)$  for which  $f_1(y) = f_2(y)$  holds for every  $y$ , and non-stationary component of  $P(t)$  (say,  $N(t)$ ), we define as, a sub-collection of  $P(t)$  whose age is  $z$  for  $z \in [0, \omega)$  for which  $f_1(z) \neq f_2(z)$ . The sum of the sizes of  $M(t)$  and  $N(t)$  will be  $P(t)$ .

Let us choose all individuals at age  $y$  in  $P(t)$  and denote the fraction,  $f_1(y)$ , as

$$(0.1) \quad f_1(y) = \frac{P_y(t)}{P(t)}.$$

From the life table constructed for the population  $P(t)$ , we can obtain the fraction of population who have expected remaining years within  $[0, \omega)$ , and compare the fraction of population at each age  $x \in [0, \omega)$  in  $P(t)$ . That is, we will obtain  $f_2(x) \forall x \in [0, \omega)$ . Let,

$$(0.2) \quad f_2(y) = \frac{L_y(t)}{L(t)},$$

where  $L_y(t)$  is the life table population at time  $t$  whose remaining years to live is  $y$  and  $L(t)$  is total life table population. We match  $\frac{P_{y_1}(t)}{P(t)}$  for a given  $y_1 \in [0, \omega)$  in (0.1) with  $\frac{L_{x_1}(t)}{L(t)}$  for each

$x \in [0, \omega)$ . If  $\frac{P_{y_1}(t)}{P(t)}$  is equal to  $\frac{L_x(t)}{L(t)}$  for some  $x$ , then we call the corresponding life table fraction of the population as  $\frac{L_{y_1}(t)}{L(t)}$  (say,  $f_2(y)$ ). This follows,

$$(0.3) \quad f_1(y_1) = \frac{P_{y_1}(t)}{P(t)} = \frac{L_{y_1}(t)}{L(t)} = f_2(y_1).$$

Suppose  $\frac{P_{y_1}(t)}{P(t)}$  does not equal to fraction  $\frac{L_x(t)}{L(t)} \forall x \in [0, \omega)$ , then we denote  $y_1$  by  $z_1$  and write this situation as  $f_1(z_1) \neq f_2(z_1)$ . We will continue matching  $\frac{P_{y_2}(t)}{P(t)}$  for some  $y_2 \neq y_1$  and  $y_2 \in [0, \omega)$  with  $\frac{L_x(t)}{L(t)}$  for each  $x \in [0, \omega)$  except for  $x = y_1$ . If there is a value of  $\frac{L_x(t)}{L(t)}$  that equals  $\frac{P_{y_2}(t)}{P(t)}$ , we call the corresponding fraction in life table population as  $\frac{L_{y_2}(t)}{L(t)}$  (say,  $f_2(y)$ ). Suppose  $\frac{P_{y_2}(t)}{P(t)}$  does not equal to  $\frac{L_x(t)}{L(t)}$  for any  $x \in [0, \omega)$ , then we denote  $y_2$  by  $z_2$  (if  $z_1$  already arrives earlier for the situation of  $f_1(z_1) \neq f_2(z_1)$ ), and write this situation as  $f_1(z_2) \neq f_2(z_2)$ . However, if  $f_1(y_1) = f_2(y_1)$  exists but  $\frac{P_{y_2}(t)}{P(t)} \neq \frac{L_x(t)}{L(t)} \forall x \in [0, \omega)$ , then  $y_2$  we denote as  $z_1$ .

Similarly, for the age  $y_i$ , the fraction  $\frac{P_{y_i}(t)}{P(t)}$  is matched with the fraction  $\frac{L_x(t)}{L(t)} \forall x \in [0, \omega)$ . If there is a value of  $\frac{P_{y_i}(t)}{P(t)}$  that matches with  $\frac{L_x(t)}{L(t)}$  then we denote it by  $f_2(y)$ , otherwise we denote it by  $f_2(z_i)$  (if previous  $z$  value in the order of unmatched fractions was  $z_{i-1}$  for  $i = 2, 3, \dots$ ). Through this procedure we will match for all the values of  $y_j \in [0, \omega)$ .

Let  $\{y_{k_j}\}$  be the set of all  $j$  values for which corresponding matched value in  $\frac{L_{y_{k_j}}(t)}{L(t)}$  exists and  $\{z_{k_l}\}$  be the set of all  $l$  values for which corresponding matched value in  $\frac{L_{y_{k_l}}(t)}{L(t)}$  do not exist.

Here,

$$\{y_{k_j}\} \cup \{z_{k_l}\} = [0, \omega),$$

and corresponding population totals

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} P_{y_{k_j}}(t) + \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} P_{y_{k_l}}(t),$$

will be equal to  $P(t)$ , where  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} P_{y_{k_j}}(t)$  is  $M(t)$  formed by satisfying the SPI, and  $\sum_{l=1}^{\infty} P_{y_{k_l}}(t)$  is  $N(t)$  formed by not satisfying the SPI. Hence, the proof.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Müller H .G., Wang J - L, Carey J.R., Caswell - Chen E.P., Chen C., Papadopoulos N., Yao F. (2004) Demographic window to aging in the wild: Constructing life tables and estimating survival functions from marked individuals of unknown age. *Aging Cell* 3 , 125 - 131.
- [2] JR Carey, HG Müller, JL Wang, NT Papadopoulos, A Diamantidis, Nikos A Koulousis (2012). Graphical and demographic synopsis of the captive cohort method for estimating population age structure in the wild. *Experimental Gerontology* 47 (10), 787-791.
- [3] Rao A.S.R.S. and Carey J.R. (2015). Carey's Equality and a theorem on Stationary Population, *Journal of Mathematical Biology (Springer)*, 71: 583-594.
- [4] Carey, J. R., N. Papadopoulos, H.-G. Müller, B. Katsoyannos, N. Kouloussis, J.-L. Wang, K. Wachter, W. Yu, and P. Liedo. 2008. Age structure changes and extraordinary life span in wild medfly populations. *Aging Cell* 7:426-437.
- [5] Brouard, N. 1986. Structure et dynamique des populations La pyramide des annees a vivre, aspects nationaux et exemples regionaux.4: 157-168
- [6] Carey, J.R., Silverman, S., Rao, A.S.R.S. (2018). The life table population identity: Discovery, formulations, proofs, extensions and applications, pp: 155-185, *Handbook of Statistics: Integrated Population Biology and Modelling* Part A, volume 39 (Eds: Arni S.R. Srinivasa Rao and C.R. Rao).

- [7] Riffe, T., and N. Brouard. 2018. 'Structure and Dynamics of Populations: The 'years-to-live' Pyramid, National Aspects and Regional Examples ', SocArXiv, March 4. doi:10.3406/espos.1986.1120.
- [8] Rao A.S.R.S. (2021). A Partition Theorem for a Randomly Selected Large Population (Under review)
- [9] Rao A.S.R.S (2021). Partition Theorem in Populations and its Role in Determining the Stationary Status of a Population, Population Research Discovery Seminars, University of Washington, Seattle, U.S.A. (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=fZcTOxn5VbY&t=1s>)